

CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

12/24/91 SUPERSEDES 04/31/90

Page: 1  
Date: 12/02/91

NAME	FAILURE MODE & CAUSES	FAILURE EFFECT	REASONABLE FOR ACCEPTANCE
P/N	CRIT		ANALYST:
PRESSURE TRANSDUCER, 2/2 ITEM 215 SV778473-6 (1)	2154RD1A: Drifts low.  CAUSE: Failure of internal electrical wiring or strain gages.	END ITEM: False indication of low SOR pressure.  CRE INTERFACE: Loss of SOR pressure reading. Erroneous CWS warning of low SOR pressure. CWS will calculate too little time remaining for O2.	A. Design - The electronic components in the transducer network are assembled to MIL-STB-803 and the hybrid assembly (48820-A-21872) receives burn in and temperature cycle screen per Eutelco ATP A2541 to assure their operational reliability and circuit integrity.  B. Test - Component Acceptance Test - The pressure Transducer output is checked at the vendor (Eutelco Semiconductor Inc.) per section 40.7 (Error Band Test) of Acceptance Test Procedure ATP25412. This test consists of checking transducer output from -14.7 psig to 7400 psig and back to -14.7 psig at temperatures of 70 degrees F, 8 degrees F and 100 degrees F.  MISSION: False indication that SOR O2 supply is being prematurely depleted.
	CRE/VEHICLE: None.		Component Functional Calibration Test per RT-E-215 - The item is pressurized with a known pressure over the ranges of -9.768 psig and 7600.0 psig. The output of the transducer when compared to the known pressure must be within 25% psig, except at 0 psig it shall be within 165 psig. An incorrect signal would be detected at this test.

POA Testing per SEMU-60-007 -  
The item is checked for proper operation by pressurizing the  
and item (SOP) to a known pressure of 7200-7600 psig. The  
SOP is then to blow down at the rate of 5.26 - 5.56 lbf/hr  
O2. The item pressure when compared to the known pressure  
shall be within 25% psig except at 0 psig it shall be within  
165 psig.

Certification Testing -  
The item completed the 15 year structural vibration and  
shock certification requirement during 10/83. Engineering  
changes 42006-141 during 10/83 (Preclude the possibility of  
a cable entry failure), 42006-101 (Eliminate a potential  
interference between transducer and the SOP), 42006-490  
(Added weld inspection requirements and a more stringent  
leakage test) and 42006-690 (Added a voltage conditioning  
requirement and a more stringent screening procedure) have  
been incorporated and verified since this configuration was  
certified. However, these changes do not pertain to the  
failure mode. A test specimen survived 500 operating  
pressure cycles and 12 proof pressure cycles and still

CIL  
EMU CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

12/24/01 SUPERSEDES 08/31/00

Page: 2  
Date: 12/02/01

NAME	FAILURE	ANALYST:		
P/N	MODE &			
CTT	CRIT	CAUSES	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
	2/2	215FM01A:		operated with an acceptable output.

C. Inspection -

100% visual inspections of electrical wiring and strain gages during assembly at vendor.

D. Failure History -

H-EMU-215-0001 (1-13-83) Sensor reads low due to a severed internal sensor wire. A redesign of the internal sensor wiring corrected the problem.  
H-EMU-215-SD01 (4-16-83) and H-EMU-215-SD02 (8-5-85) SOP Procedure Transducer reading out of spec caused by erroneous transducer reading. No corrective action was implemented because the anomaly could not be repeated.

None for this failure. Related Failures:

H-EMU-215-002 (1-19-87) The transducer had damaged cable connector. This was the result of the use of Scotch Weld which made the cable attachment too rigid. The Scotch Weld will be deleted in all (-6) configurations and subsequent.  
H-EMU-215-A001 (1-23-87) The electrical bonding between the sensor and oxygen manifold was over specified limits. This was the result of torque being applied between the mating surfaces during assembly. Assembly Operation Sheets were revised to clarify areas of application.

H-EMU-215-0085 (5/04/90) SOP pressure transducer read -202 psig when actual pressure was zero psig at Handiton. Allowable difference is 105 psig at zero psig. Discrepant readings were due to an error in the vendor's (Kulite) Acceptance Test Procedure which allowed a 259 psig error band at zero psig. Kulite's Acceptance Test Procedure has been corrected to reflect the 105 psig band.

E. Ground Turnaround -

Tested per FOMD-H-001 Parag. 2.2.4.11, SOP Preflight Processing, and Transducer and DCH Gauge Calibration Check, both would detect loss of output during calibration.

F. Operational Use -

Crew Response : EMU: Since EVA termination should begin as soon as SDP is floating, the response is to abort EVA.

5EMD-44-001  
4 1208 1101

CIL  
EMU CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

Page: 3  
Date: 12/02/91

12/26/91 SUPERSEDES 08/31/90

ANALYST:

NAME	P/N	QTY	ORIGIN	FAILURE MODE & CAUSES	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
		2/2		215FDIA1		<p>Training - Standard EMU training covers this failure mode. Crewmembers are thoroughly tested in EVA termination and abort procedures using both neutral buoyancy and 1-g techniques.</p> <p>Operational Considerations - Reference/loss Failure Flight Rules: Define EMU as test for lack of operational SOP. EVA checklist and TOF procedures verify hardware integrity and systems operational status prior to EVA. Real Time Data System allows ground monitoring of EMU systems.</p>